

Message

From: Perkins, Erin [Perkins.Erin@epa.gov]
Sent: 8/8/2020 4:18:57 PM
To: McCoy, Melissa [mccoy.melissa@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: draft response to comments

Hi –

I believe the technical comments will be/have been/should be addressed by the UIC program – particularly as it relates to groundwater.

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Thakns,
Erin

From: McCoy, Melissa <mccoy.melissa@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2020 1:36 PM
To: Perkins, Erin <Perkins.Erin@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: draft response to comments

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Further, EPA’s analysis reveals that “[s]ome significant data gaps exist within the information reported.” Exhibit OST-026 at 29. BEPA analysis reveals for the first time that while “[g]roundwater samples were collected within the area of the

Site from various wells; however, lack of ground water sampling data from near and upgradient of the Site limited availability of reliable background concentrations.” Id. Also, EPA points out that although soil samples were collected at the site by Powertech, “of the 25 samples collected, only three were analyzed for additional radionuclides including uranium, Pb-210, and Th-230 – the other known contaminants on site.” Id. Together, these EPA documents demonstrate that additional investigation is necessary at the site in order to establish the scientifically credible baseline analysis required by the SWDA, UIC regulations, NEPA, and the APA.

Ex. 5 AC/DP

-Melissa

From: Perkins, Erin <Perkins.Erin@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2020 12:13 PM
To: McCoy, Melissa <mccoy.melissa@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: draft response to comments

How about this revised response?

Ex. 5 AC/DP

From: McCoy, Melissa <mccoy.melissa@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2020 9:44 AM
To: Perkins, Erin <Perkins.Erin@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: draft response to comments

Ex. 5 AC/DP

I’m pretty free today, so if you need anything else, I’m here!

Melissa

From: Perkins, Erin <Perkins.Erin@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2020 8:57 AM
To: McCoy, Melissa <mccoy.melissa@epa.gov>
Subject: draft response to comments

Hi –

How about this for the Response to Comments document?

Comment: "EPA received multiple comments regarding EPA's compliance for these Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) actions with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), NEPA's implementing regulations as well as the doctrine of NEPA functional equivalency. EPA also received comments regarding the adequacy of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)'s NEPA analysis."

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Thoughts?

Thanks,
Erin